1. Question asked by Wendy Clark to Councillor Manuel Abellan, Chair of the Environment & Neighbourhood Committee

The council has stated that most of their budget is now allocated to care for the elderly and disabled.

Given that a high number of disabled and elderly are cared for by friends and family, who attend for anything between a few minutes to several days, and that these people relieve the Council of any further financial support, how are the council going to ensure a parking space is available where and when it is needed by these carers?

2. Question asked by Colin Keene to Councillor Manuel Abellan, Chair of the Environment & Neighbourhood Committee

In the official written reply to a question accepted but unable to be heard at the Council meeting on 28 January, Councillor Abellan stated that, at Stage 3 all residents will be provided with a more in-depth design for their street and will have the opportunity to consider formally the revised proposals and either accept or reject them, yet at the Scrutiny Meeting on 6 February he stated that a street-by-street 'referendum' would be out of the question - so what WILL be the precise process at Stage 3 and how will it be communicated to the residents?

3. Question asked by Charlie Mansell to Councillor Manuel Abellan, Chair of the Environment & Neighbourhood Committee

The current Council Parking consultation had led to an interesting public debate over a range of issues including:

- Formal types of consultation that could operate
- Level of publicity for early stages of this process
- Applicability of purdah during single ward by-elections
- Scheduling and length of consultation periods
- Council engagement with residents through more fully utilising its various types of meetings
- Choice of venues the Council uses for meetings
- Utilising Council Meeting amendments to give an update on the current position in a consultation process

As a result of that debate the simple question to pose here is what lessons has the Council learned from its current Parking consultation as to how it engages residents on this issue in future?
4. **Question asked by Dave Triphook to Councillor Manuel Abellan, Chair of the Environment & Neighbourhood Committee**

I would like clarity and again raise the question of in my opinion, following a ‘junk mail’ parking consultation leaflet drop, via the Post Office, to 43000 households in Sutton (with a return rate of 12%) why Mr Abellan feels this is a true reflection of a ‘consultation’? Surely this return figure alone is not what was expected and should have raised his concerns as to why the return rate was so low?”

5. **Question asked by Steve Alvarez to Councillor Manuel Abellan, Chair of the Environment & Neighbourhood Committee**

At the last Scrutiny Committee meeting you advised that 15 company registered N1 van renewal permits were denied within the current CPZ zones since 2016. You also said that you will wait for the results of Stage 2 to see how many residents have raised the issue before rethinking the policy. Did you make any effort at all to find out what negative impact these denials had on those 15 people and if so do you think it acceptable to deny someone their job?

6. **Question asked by Robert Ede to Councillor Manuel Abellan, Chair of the Environment & Neighbourhood Committee**

This question is in regards to current parking consultations which are in progress throughout the London Borough of Sutton.

During the last Scrutiny Committee meeting, you admitted that the current plans will reduce the number of parking spaces in certain areas. You also said that you are currently doing street surveys road by road.

Who is carrying out these street surveys and why were they not done before you started Stage 2 so you could see whether the agencies plans at Stage 1 were workable or not before you sent the residents the plans?

You could have altered things at Stage 2 yourselves so that we could have our opinion but instead, you will be leaving it until Stage 3 where we will not be allowed to have an opinion at all?