

2 December 2020 - DM2020/01212 - 43-45 Stayton, Road Sutton
SM1 1QY - Objector



The following Written Statement has been received regarding the above planning application.

11/26/2020 14:26:36

Name

Mr Rob Nowland

Role and organisation (if any)

Interest in the application

Objector

Written Statement

"I totally object to this planning application and this statement to you must be read alongside my reply to Management Development on 4/10/20. (see DM2020/01212). I have lived close to the premises for over 50 years and can give an insight with our frustration with development of this site, which has blighted the area for the last 20 years and will do so for years to come if this planning application is approved.

The owners of these two houses and let me point out, Mr. Mike Stott is only a recently legally paid up beneficiary of the property, but number 43 Stayton Road was purchased in the late 90's by the "owners" who immediately started using it as a 6 bed care home.

When number 45 came on the market, the owner quickly snapped it up and immediately set about extending her business into that adjacent single dwelling, even knocking down an internal wall establishing an interconnecting door, all without planning permission. It was only after neighbours complained about this, that the owner submitted her planning application to use her 2 homes as a care home, which was unfortunately for us, was duly approved.

I and many of my neighbours have always agreed, the premises was always far too small to be used as a care home, it had limited street access for wheelchairs, which for many years caused untold corner parking problems, this was also exacerbated by having a small garden front and rear to extend the premises, or even use as relaxation area for the residents and has limited off-street parking.

But Mr Stott's proposal is not to continue as a care home, but something far worse for the neighbours, yet another application of several self-contained flats, totally unsuitable for families, even he admits the tenants will be sharing those flats and will be from backgrounds totally adverse to the residents living in the area, but tries to thwart that by applying irrational tenancy conditions, allowing only business types and those not owning a car, absolutely



nonsensical.

We all know, those tenancy conditions are unworkable and unenforceable, since any sensible person will know, it is the makeup and location of a dwelling that determines its well-being in a society, not shared accommodation units within a HMO like this, it will only blight our friendly family community, especially when another dubious HMO is not more than 6 car lengths away.

Only acceptable planning application for our community is for the premises to be converted back to 2 separate dwellings”